

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.         | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/611,320  | 07/06/2000      | Richard J. Collins   | 16762-85-US01               | 6426                    |  |
| 26853   | 7590 01/29/2002 |                      |                             |                         |  |
| COVINGTON & BURLING<br>ATTN: PATENT DOCKETING<br>1201 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. |                 |                      | EXAMINER FRIDIE JR, WILLMON |                         |  |
|   |                 |                      |                             |                         |  |
|   |                 |                      | 3722                        |                         |  |
|   |                 |                      | DATE MAILED: 01/29/2002     | DATE MAILED: 01/29/2002 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office | Action | Summary             |
|--------|--------|---------------------|
| UIIICE | ACUUII | <i>Sullilliai</i> y |

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | 1-1  |
|-----------------|--------------|------|
| 09/611320       | Collins      | u oc |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |      |
| tridie          | 37           | 22   |

| Office Action Summary   | 0-9611200   | 001             | - 7. 3           |            |           |              |
|---|---|-----------------|------------------|------------|-----------|--------------|
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner Tridie   |                 | Art Unit<br>3)こと | ,          |           |              |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears  |   | th the corres   | <del></del>      |            |           |              |
|   | Λ   |                 |                  | 07000      |           |              |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  |   | <u> </u>        |                  | . <b>.</b> | <b></b>   |              |
| <ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory communication.</li> </ul> | cation.<br>s, a reply within the statu                  | ıtory minimur   | n of thirty (30) | ) days     | will      | late of this |
| - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after th earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | e mailing date of this con                              | nmunication,    | even if timely   | filed, r   | may reduc | e any        |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |   |                 |                  |            |           | <u> </u>     |
| 2a) ☑ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action   | tion is non-final.                                      |                 |                  |            |           |              |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex particle.   | •   |                 |                  | the m      | nerits is |              |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |                 |                  |            |           |              |
| 4) 🗆 Claim(s)   | ₫s/are pending in the application.                      |                 |                  |            |           |              |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s)  |   | is/ar           | e withdrawr      | n from     | n conside | ration.      |
| 5) $\square$ Claim(s) $24 - 33$   | is/are withdrawn from consideration.                    |                 |                  |            |           |              |
| 5) Claim(s) $24 - 33$<br>6) Claim(s) $1 - 23$   |   |                 |                  |            |           |              |
| 7)  |   |                 |                  | ).         |           |              |
|   | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. |                 |                  |            |           |              |
| Application Papers  |   |                 |                  |            |           |              |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |   |                 |                  |            |           |              |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed onis/ar  | e objected to by the E                                  | Examiner.       |                  |            |           |              |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on  |   |                 | b)□ disapp       | roved      | l.        |              |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam  |   |                 |                  |            |           |              |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |                 |                  |            |           |              |
| 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign  | oriority under 35 U.S.                                  | C. § 119(a)     | )-(d).           |            |           |              |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:   | ,   |                 | (4)              |            |           |              |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha  | ve been received.                                       |                 |                  |            |           |              |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha  |   | pplication N    | No.              |            |           | •            |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Burn  | documents have been<br>eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a             | received in     |                  |            |           |              |
| *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the  | •   |                 |                  |            |           |              |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic   | c priority under 35 U.                                  | S.C. § 119      | (e).             |            |           |              |
| Attachment(s)   |   |                 |                  |            |           |              |
| 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 18) Interview Summary                                   | (PTO-413) Paper | No(s)            |            |           |              |

16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

20) Other:

19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/611320 Page 2

Art Unit:

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-4,6-17 and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rinderknecht.

For the reasons as set forth in paragraph three(3) of the office action dated 3/13/01. Also, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the different portions of the dimples of whatever form or shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. *In re Dailey et al.*, 149 USPQ 47.

Application/Control Number: 09/611320 Page 3

Art Unit:

4. Claims 5 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Rinderknecht as applied to claims 1-4,6-17 and 19-23 above, and further in view of McNeely.

For the reasons as set forth in paragraph four (4) of the previous office action.

# Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 24-33 are allowed.

# Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 11/19/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In regard to applicant's arguments directed to figure 7 of the Rinderknecht reference, the examiner submits that Rinderknecht inherently functions as a"non-slip engaging means". Further, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/611320

Page 4

Art Unit:

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to W. Fridie, jr. whose telephone number is (703) 308-1866.

wf

January 26, 2002

WILLMON FRIDIE, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
CROUP 3200